



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/759,620

01/16/2004

Charles A. Eldering

T708-14

1665

81712 7590 04/01/2009

Carlineo, Spicer & Kee, LLC
2003 S. Easton Road, Suite 208
Doylestown, PA 18901

EXAMINER

CHIN, RICKY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2423

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/01/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/759,620	Applicant(s) ELDERING ET AL.	
	Examiner RICKY CHIN	Art Unit 2423	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-20, 22 and 23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-20, 22, and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed January 5, 2009 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Furthermore, applicant argues that Bryant does not teach of comparing the avail bandwidth to the minimum bandwidth requirements. Applicants' argument is that Bryant provides no discussion of how the fill segments are selected, but only teaches that the number of segments must fit within the bandwidth capabilities of the signal. The examiner respectfully disagrees since the step of ensuring that the number of segments fitting within the bandwidth capabilities of the signal (i.e., four 1.5 Mb/s segments or two 3.0 Mb/s segments may be concurrently transmitted onto a 6 Mb/s signal) as disclosed by Bryant (col. 4 lines 37-45 and col. 5 lines 4-9) inherently implies that selection of the segments are at least partially based on the comparison of the avail bandwidth (i.e., 6 Mb/s signal) and the minimum bandwidth requirements (i.e., four 1.5 Mb/s or two 3.0 Mb/s segments) since the circuit carrying the signal is aware of the total bandwidth allocated for carrying the signal and is able to realize how many fill segments and of what size can be concurrently broadcast on the signal in order to meet the bandwidth requirements and maximize the avail bandwidth. For example, if the minimum bandwidth requirement for 3 segments are 1.5 Mb/s each for a 6 Mb/s avail bandwidth, selection of the fourth segment would be at least in part by comparing the minimum bandwidth requirement of the potential segments to ensure that the fourth selected segment would be 1.5 Mb/s or less as to be able to fit within the avail bandwidth of 6

Art Unit: 2423

Mb/s to be concurrently broadcast with the other segments as to utilize as much of the avail as possible without overload.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-20, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carles, US 5,661,516 in view of Bryant, US 5,652,615, and in further view of Del Sesto, US 6,985,882.

Regarding claim 1, Carles discloses of a method for managing selection and insertion of advertisements (See Abstract), the method comprising: determining subscriber characteristics (See col. 3 lines 1-15- col. 4 lines 1-10 which discloses identifying and providing information about categories of recipients and groups of households defining its region) for an advertisement opportunity within a program stream (See col. 3 lines 40-65 which discloses breaks in program material for commercial insertion for each household); receiving at least one request for advertisement presentation (See col. 3 lines 30-62 which discloses the insertions for each subscriber during each break are determined by the CMMS 11 and are communicated to server 10 for insertion into schedule 31. Therefore, a request is being

Art Unit: 2423

made by the CMMS and being received by the server), the at least one request including advertisement characteristics, comprising intended target market characteristics (See col.3 lines 44-55 which discloses that based upon information contained in the commercial muting database and information embedded in the commercial message CMMS will select certain households to receive certain commercial messages and will convey that information to server 10 for storage in commercial message schedule 31, thus comprising of an intended target market of households); and selecting targeted advertisements for insertion into the advertisement opportunity, wherein the targeted advertisements are selected by correlating the intended target market characteristics to the subscriber characteristics (See col.3 lines 16-60 and col. 4 lines 66-col. 5 lines 1-45 which discloses the CMMS communicating to the server for insertion into the schedule and determining the commercials to be sent to a subscriber based on profile household data in profile database 36. Furthermore, correlation of the intended market characteristics to the subscriber characteristics is further exemplified by the categories of goods and services which are part of the embedded information and using syndicated research whereby a representative sample of a high frequency user or customer for the given product is selected whereby targeted households are characterized for the product or service and ratings are given for each category of goods/services with a higher rating being a more likelihood of a purchase)

Carles does not explicitly teach of determining an avail bandwidth and minimum bandwidth requirements, wherein the minimum bandwidth requirements represent required amount of bandwidth available within the program stream for the advertisement

Art Unit: 2423

to be inserted, wherein the targeted advertisements are also selected by comparing the avail bandwidth to the minimum bandwidth requirements.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Bryant teaches of determining an avail bandwidth and minimum bandwidth requirements (See col. 4 lines 37-45 and Fig. 3 which discloses the relative indication of bandwidth requirements and col. 5 lines 4-9 which discloses determining the allocated bandwidth available for the base and fill segments), wherein the minimum bandwidth requirements represent required amount of bandwidth available within the program stream for the advertisement to be inserted and wherein the targeted advertisements are also selected by comparing the avail bandwidth to the minimum bandwidth requirements (See col. 5 lines 4-9 which discloses that for a 6Mb/s signal , up to 3.0 Mb/s or four 1.5 Mb/s fill segments can be concurrently broadcast. Hence, in order to be able to allocate the bandwidth necessary to fill the fill segments, the bandwidths of the fill segments must be first determined to see if they would fit the required bandwidth of the signal in combination with the other fill segments if concurrently broadcast. Therefore, fill segments which are too big and use up too much bandwidth cannot fit with other fill segments concurrently cannot be used making selection based on comparing avail bandwidth to minimum bandwidth requirements necessary).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the teachings of Carles to incorporate determining an avail bandwidth and minimum bandwidth requirements, wherein the minimum bandwidth requirements represent required amount of bandwidth available within the

Art Unit: 2423

program stream for the advertisement to be inserted, wherein the targeted advertisements are also selected by comparing the avail bandwidth to the minimum bandwidth requirements as taught by Bryant to allow a quality and successful insertion of a targeted advertisement to occur by preventing overflow and making efficient use of the available bandwidth.

The combination of Bryant and Carles further teaches of containing the results of the correlation (See Carles, col. 5 lines 20-47 and col. 8 lines 57-67 which discloses that advertisers may purchase advertising time based on the calculated correlation of the subscribers). The combination does not explicitly teach of presenting a purchase offer for the advertisement opportunity to advertisers associated with the selected targeted advertisements, the purchase offer containing the results of the correlation; receiving one or more bids from at least one of the advertisers for the advertisement opportunity; and selecting a winning advertiser based at least in part on the one or more received bids.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Del Sesto teaches of presenting a purchase offer for the advertisement opportunity to advertisers associated with the selected targeted advertisements (See Abstract and Figs. 5E-5R which discloses offers to purchase the advertisement opportunities to advertisers), the purchase offer containing the results of the correlation (See col.5 lines 34-48 which discloses a buyer bid containing information such as demographic of the commercial; an avail which indicates a piece of time available; and of an avail bid which indicates a relationship between an avail and a buyer bid); receiving one or more bids from at least one of the

Art Unit: 2423

advertisers for the advertisement opportunity (See Abstract and Figs. 5E-5R which discloses receiving bids); and selecting a winning advertiser based at least in part on the one or more received bids (See Abstract and Figs. 5E-5R which discloses running the advertisement according to the contract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the teachings of Bryant and Carles to incorporate presenting a purchase offer for the advertisement opportunity to advertisers associated with the selected targeted advertisements, the purchase offer containing the results of the correlation; receiving one or more bids from at least one of the advertisers for the advertisement opportunity; and selecting a winning advertiser based at least in part on the one or more received bids as taught by Del Sesto for the mere benefit being able to display the correlation results to potential bidders in conjunction with the other information of the avail as to better characterize the advertisement opportunity to the potential buyers in order to maximize profits by auctioning off advertisements opportunities to advertisers and to meet the advertisers target audience for better sales.

Regarding claim 2, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 1, the combination further teaches of wherein said selecting targeted advertisements includes selecting advertisements having minimum bandwidth requirements in close proximity to the avail bandwidth. (See Bryant, col. 5 lines 4-9 which discloses fill segment bandwidths in "close" proximity)

Regarding claim 3, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 1, the combination further teaches of wherein said selecting targeted advertisements includes selecting advertisements having minimum bandwidth requirements less than or equal to the avail bandwidth (See Bryant, col. 5 lines 4-9 and Fig. 3 which discloses bandwidths of the fill segments being less than the avail bandwidth).

Regarding claim 5, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 1, the combination further teaches of wherein said determining subscriber characteristics includes receiving node characteristics that are an aggregate of the subscriber characteristics for subscribers associated with a node (See Carles, col. 3 lines 16-62 which discloses the conveyed information pertains to a group of households).

Regarding claim 6, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 1, the combination further teaches of wherein said determining subscriber characteristics includes receiving the subscriber characteristics from publicly available data (See Bryant, col. 4 lines 1-37; Carles, col. 4 lines 4-35, and col.5 lines 1-30)

Regarding claim 7, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the

Art Unit: 2423

method of claim 6. The combination does not explicitly teach of wherein the publicly available data includes real estate records and tax assessment records. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the parameters utilized to include such data as a matter of preference, at least for the desirable benefit of more accurately describing the user.

Regarding claim 8, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 1, wherein said determining subscriber characteristics includes receiving subscriber characteristics associated with a group of subscribers (See Carles col. 3 lines 16-62 where the information pertains to a group of households).

Regarding claim 9, the claim has been analyzed and rejected for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 10, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the system of claim 1, the combination further teaches of wherein the intended target market characteristics include demographics (See Carles, col. 5 lines 1-30 which refers to demographics).

Regarding claim 11, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 10, the combination further teaches of wherein a probabilistic distribution is assigned to various demographic attributes (See Carles, col. 5 lines 1-45 which refers to weighting on a statistical analysis).

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 12, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 1, the combination further teaches of comprising inserting the targeted advertisement into the avail (See Carles, Abstract and analysis of claim 1).

Regarding claim 13, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 12, further comprising delivering the advertisement to at least some subset of the subscribers (See Carles, Abstract and col. 5).

Regarding claim 14, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 13, the combination further teaches of wherein the subset includes individual subscribers (See Carles, Abstract and col. 5).

Regarding claim 15, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 13, the combination further teaches of wherein the subset includes a group of subscribers (See Carles, Abstract and col. 5).

Regarding claim 16, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 15, the combination further teaches of wherein the groups of subscribers are generated based on connectivity (See Carles, Abstract and col. 5).

Regarding claim 17, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 13, the combination further teaches of wherein the subscribers include at least some subset of individuals, households, and groups (See Carles, Abstract and col. 5)

Regarding claim 18, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the

Art Unit: 2423

method of claim 1, the combination further teaches of a multiplexed stream (See Bryant, Fig. 6 and col. 6 lines 55- col. 7 lines 17 which discloses multiple programs in the video stream; also refer to the analysis of claim 1)

Regarding claim 19, the claim has been analyzed and rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-3.

Regarding claim 20, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 19, the combination further teaches of a multiplexed stream (See Bryant, Fig. 6 and col. 6 lines 55- col. 7 lines 17 which discloses multiple programs in the video stream; also refer to the analysis of claims 18-19)

Regarding claim 22, the claim has been analyzed and rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-3. Furthermore, acceptable format is dependent upon the operator and viewer and sufficient level of comparison can be any level depending on how the level is being gauged. Also refer to Carles col. 8.

Regarding claim 23, the combination teaches all of the claim limitations of the method of claim 22, the combination does not explicitly teach of comprising halting the insertion of the digitally compressed advertisement when the minimum acceptable bit rate is greater than the advertisement insertion opportunity bit rate. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included an error detection step as to being able to prevent a possible insertion

Art Unit: 2423

overload by sending a fill segment requiring greater bandwidth than what the avail can handle or process.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Contact

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky Chin whose telephone number is 571-270-3753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2423

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Koenig can be reached on 571-272-7296. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ricky Chin/
Patent Examiner
AU 2423
(571) 270-3753
Ricky.Chin@uspto.gov

/Andrew Y Koenig/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423